

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,450 .	12/19/2003	Oleg V. Kozyuk	24961-80	8151
	7590 08/02/2007 IEDI ANDED CODI AN	I & A D O N O F E I I P	EXAM	IINER
BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DOCKET CLERK		BECKER	BECKER, DREW E	
2300 BP TOWI 200 PUBLIC Se			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND,			1761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/743,450	KOZYUK, OLEG V.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Drew E. Becker	1761			
The	MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Re	ply					
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing nt term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Res	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ma</u>	ay 2007.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of	f Claims					
4)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.		,			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Clair	n(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐ Clair	n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Page 1	apers					
9)□ The s	specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The c	oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)∏ All	_	, ,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.□	Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage			
* 0 41-	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat				
3) 📈 Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)	/iviali Date	6)				

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of group II in the reply filed on 5/9/07 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 20-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the homogenized fluid product fluid product" in the final line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 17 recites a "sufficient distance". It is not clear what distance would be considered "sufficient".

Art Unit: 1761

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gentner [Pat. No. 5,846,583].

Gentner teaches a method for treating a homogenized fluid by introducing two streams of homogenized fluid into a passageway, wherein one stream has a lower temperature than the other (Figure 1, #10, 46).

8. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bertocchi [Pat. No. 5,993,876].

Bertocchi teaches a method for heat treating a homogenized puree fluid by feeding product ingredients to a strainer assembly (Figure 2, #4), feeding the stream of fluid to a local constriction with a downstream high-shear mixing zone (Figures 2-3, #9), introducing an amount of the fluid at a second temperature into the high-shear zone (Figure 3, #10), the first fluid having a temperature of 95°C upstream of the mixing zone and a temperature of 80°C after the mixing zone (column 5, line 19), the two fluids inherently having the same concentration, a volumetric pump which acts as supply

Application/Control Number: 10/743,450

Art Unit: 1761

source for the second fluid (Figure 2, #5), and the first fluid splitting into separate streams in order to flow around the constriction (Figure 3, #10).

9. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by paquin et al [Pat. No. 6,511,695].

Paquin et al teach a method for treating a temperature sensitive fluid by feeding a stream of through a local constriction in the form of a high pressure homogenizer to produce high shear mixing (column 4, lines 1-6), cooling the homogenized fluid (column 4, lines 46-49), recirculating the fluid through the system up to 50 times (column 4, line 11), the fluid including milk, egg, or vegetable proteins (column 3, line 57), a cooling device in the form of refrigerated water treatment (column 4, line 48), and a temperature range of 20-80°C (column 3, line 48).

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kozyuk [Pat. No. 6,802,639], Karlsson et al [Pat. No. 5,492,409], Lindquist [Pat. No. 6,737,096], Huber et al [Pat. No. 6,588,926], and Cook et al [Pat. No. 4,533,254] teach methods of mixing fluids.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,450

Art Unit: 1761

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DREW BECKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
7-3/07

Page 5